

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

SHAWN PAUL GROSSMAN,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 24-cv-12463

v.

HON. MARK A. GOLDSMITH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR,

Defendant.

_____ /

OPINION AND ORDER OF SUMMARY DISMISSAL

Plaintiff is an inmate confined at the Marquette Branch Prison in Marquette, Michigan, who filed a pro se civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On October 3, 2024, Magistrate Judge David R. Grand signed an order of deficiency which required Plaintiff to either (i) pay the \$ 350.00 filing fee in full, plus the \$ 55.00 administrative filing fee, or (ii) provide a current computerized trust fund account showing the history of the financial transactions in Plaintiff's institutional trust fund account for the past six months. See 12/3/24 Order (Dkt. 5). Plaintiff was given thirty days to comply with the order. Id. To date, Plaintiff has not complied with the order.

Plaintiff provided this Court with a copy of the application to proceed without prepayment of fees and a financial certificate signed by his custodian. Plaintiff, however, failed to provide a current computerized trust fund statement of account showing the history of the financial transactions in his institutional trust fund account for the past six months.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) requires a prisoner who seeks to proceed without prepayment of fees and costs in a civil complaint in federal court to file a certified copy of the trust fund account statement for that prisoner for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the

complaint or notice of appeal, obtained from the appropriate official of each prison at which the prisoner is or was confined. See also McGore v. Wigglesworth, 114 F.3d 601, 605 (6th Cir. 1997).

If a prisoner who does not pay the full filing fee fails to provide an affidavit of indigency or a certified trust account statement, the district court is required to advise the prisoner of the deficiency and the prisoner will then have thirty days from the date of the deficiency order to correct the error or to pay the full filing fee. Id. If the inmate fails to comply with the district court's directions, "[t]he district court must presume that the prisoner is not a pauper and assess the inmate the full amount of fees." Id. The district court must then order that the case be dismissed for want of prosecution. Id.

Plaintiff failed to correct the deficiency order by providing the Court with a copy of his computerized jail trust fund account statement for the past six months. See Davis v. United States, 73 F. App'x 804, 805 (6th Cir. 2003). The Court dismisses the complaint without prejudice for want of prosecution based upon Plaintiff's failure to fully comply with the deficiency order. See e.g., Erby v. Kula, 113 F. App'x 74, 75–76 (6th Cir. 2004).

Accordingly, the Court dismisses without prejudice the complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), (b)(1) and (2) for failure to comply with the filing requirements of the Prison Litigation Reform Act. Nothing in this order precludes Plaintiff from filing a new civil rights complaint under a new case number so long as he pays the filing and administrative fees and provides the complete and correct information necessary to proceed without prepayment of fees.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 10, 2024
Detroit, Michigan

s/Mark A. Goldsmith
MARK A. GOLDSMITH
United States District Judge